Wall Pressure Fluctuations in a Turbulent Boundary Layer over a Bump
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Direct numerical simulations of a turbulent boundary layer over a bump were performed to examine the effects of surface longitudinal curvature on wall pressure fluctuations. Turbulence statistics and frequency spectra were examined to elucidate the response of wall pressure fluctuations to the longitudinal curvature and to the corresponding pressure gradient. Wall pressure fluctuations were significantly enhanced near the trailing edge of the bump, where the boundary layer is subjected to a strong adverse pressure gradient. Large-scale structures in the wall pressure fluctuation distribution were observed to grow rapidly near the trailing edge of the bump and convect downstream. In addition, the distance between the streamwise vortices and the wall increased slightly near the trailing edge of the bump. This caused the magnitude of the streamwise vorticity to increase significantly due to the diminishing of the interaction with the wall, leading to an enhancement of the wall pressure fluctuations.

The majority of previous studies on wall pressure fluctuations have examined equilibrium turbulent boundary layers over flat plates or inside channels [4–6]. The experimental studies on wall pressure fluctuations have been reviewed by Willmarth [7] and Eckelmann [8]. Initial attempts at measuring wall pressure fluctuations were made difficult by problems associated with pressure transducers, which gave rise to poor spatial resolution and frequency response. Recently, however, Lee and Sung [9] managed to accurately measure the wall pressure fluctuations in flow over a backward-facing step using a multiple-arrayed measurement technique. Their findings revealed that there exist two modes of vortex shedding. Hudy et al. [10] also observed that the existence of self-sustained oscillations near the middle of the recirculation zone.

The difficulties involved in experimentally measuring wall pressure fluctuations can be avoided by using direct numerical simulations (DNS) [11–13]. Neves and Moin [14] examined the effects of convex transverse curvature on wall pressure fluctuations in axial flow boundary layers. They demonstrated that the rms pressure fluctuations decrease with increasing the transverse curvature of the surface. In addition, Na and Moin [15] studied the effects of a pressure gradient and separation on wall pressure fluctuations. They observed large two-dimensional roller-type structures inside the separation bubble. Recently, Kim et al. [16] investigated the characteristics of wall pressure fluctuations after the sudden application of wall blowing or suction. They showed that wall pressure fluctuations are more sensitive to blowing than to suction. In particular, for the system subjected to blowing, they observed large-scale elongated structures in the wall pressure fluctuation distribution near the location of maximum rms pressure fluctuations. However, the effects of longitudinal curvature on wall pressure fluctuations have yet to be established.

The main objective of the present study was, therefore, to investigate the effects of longitudinal curvature on wall pressure fluctuations. To achieve this, DNSs of a turbulent boundary layer over a bump were performed. The boundary layer over a bump has been widely studied due to its geometrical complexity, which involves both pressure gradients and surface curvature [17–19]. A schematic diagram of the flow geometry is shown in Fig. 1. The surface bump is defined by three tangential circular arcs. The boundary layer experiences a short region of concave surface, a longer region of convex surface, another short region of concave surface, and then returns to the flat plate. As a result of this geometry, the streamwise pressure gradient changes from adverse to favorable in the region upstream of the bump apex. Downstream of the bump apex, the boundary layer is subjected to an adverse pressure gradient before returning to a favorable pressure gradient over the flat plate.

The following nomenclature is used in this paper:

\[ \begin{align*}
C_f &= \text{skin friction coefficient} \\
C_p &= \text{mean wall pressure coefficient} \\
k_c &= \text{spanwise wave number} \\
P^+ &= \text{pressure gradient parameter} \\
p_w &= \text{wall pressure fluctuations} \\
d_\infty &= \text{reference dynamic pressure} \\
R_{pp} &= \text{two-point correlation of wall pressure fluctuations} \\
Re_h &= \text{Reynolds number based on } U_{\infty} \text{ and } \theta_0, U_{\infty} \theta_0/\nu \\
S &= \text{acoustic source} \\
U_{\infty} &= \text{freestream velocity} \\
u_x &= \text{friction velocity} \\
\theta_0 &= \text{skin friction coefficient} \\
\omega &= \text{frequency} \\
\end{align*} \]

Subscripts

\[ \begin{align*}
rms &= \text{root mean square value} \\
w &= \text{values at the wall} \\
r &= \text{fluctuating component} \\
+ &= \text{normalized by wall variables} \\
* &= \text{complex conjugate} \\
\end{align*} \]

I. Introduction

Knowledge of wall pressure fluctuations is essential if we are to understand the dynamic behavior of wall turbulent flows and flow noise. One practical example in which such knowledge is vital is noise generation caused by the flow over a sonar transducer mounted on a ship or a submarine. In addition, unsteady pressure data play a fundamental role in the analysis of the sound radiated from a surface. To predict the acoustic pressure level based on Curle’s solution [1] of the Lighthill equation, an accurate spatial distribution of wall pressure fluctuations is needed. Considerable experimental and theoretical work has been carried out with the aim of constructing a reliable spectral model of pressure fluctuations [2,3].
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behavior of the wall pressure fluctuations with convection downstream is presented in terms of spatiotemporal correlations and convection velocities. A time sequence of instantaneous fields is visualized to investigate the evolution of the wall pressure fluctuations.

II. Direct Numerical Simulation

For an incompressible flow, the nondimensional governing equations are

$$\frac{\partial u_i}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j}(u_i u_j) = -\frac{\partial p}{\partial x_i} + \frac{1}{Re} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} \left( \frac{\partial u_i}{\partial x_j} \right)$$

where $x_i$ are the Cartesian coordinates and $u_i$ are the corresponding velocity components. All variables are nondimensionalized by a characteristic length and velocity scale, and $Re$ is the Reynolds number.

By introducing generalized coordinates $q^i$, the velocity components $u_i$ are transformed into the volume fluxes across the faces of the cell $q^i$ or $q$. Formulation of the problem in terms of the contravariant velocity components, weighted with the Jacobian $J$ in conjunction with the staggered variable configuration, leads to discretized equations. The transformed governing equations are rewritten as

$$\frac{\partial q^i}{\partial t} + N^i(q) = -G^i(p) + L_1^i(q) + L_2^i(q)$$

$$D^i q^j = \frac{1}{J} \left( \frac{\partial q^1}{\partial q^i} + \frac{\partial q^2}{\partial q^i} + \frac{\partial q^3}{\partial q^i} \right) = 0$$

where $N^i$ is the convective term, $G^i(p)$ is the pressure gradient term, $L_1^i$ and $L_2^i$ are the diffusion terms without and with cross derivatives, and $D^i$ is the divergence operator. More details can be found in Choi et al. [21].

The governing equations are integrated in time by using a fully implicit, fractional-step method, which has been proposed by Choi and Moin [22]. The fractional step is a method of approximation of the governing equations based on the decomposition of the operators. In applying this method to the Navier–Stokes equations, we can interpret the role of pressure in the momentum equations as a projection operator, which projects an arbitrary vector field into a divergence-free vector. In Cartesian coordinates, the four steps are

$$\frac{\Delta t}{\Delta t} + \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} \left( \frac{\partial u_i}{\partial x_j} + u_i u_j \right) = -\frac{\partial p^a}{\partial x_i} + \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} \left( \frac{\partial u_i}{\partial x_j} + u_i u_j \right)$$

$$\frac{\Delta t}{\Delta t} = \frac{\partial p^a}{\partial u_i}$$

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} \left( \frac{\partial u_i}{\partial x_j} \right) = \frac{1}{\Delta t} \frac{\partial u_i}{\partial x_j}$$

$$\frac{\Delta t}{\Delta t} = -\frac{\partial p^{a+1}}{\partial x_i}$$


\[ \text{Re}_\theta = 300 \]

\[ L_y = 45 \theta_0 \]

\[ L_z = 40 \theta_0 \]

\[ L_c = 120 \theta_0 \]

\[ L_x = 240 \theta_0 \]

Fig. 2 Computational domain and grid system.

Table 2 Comparison of computational grid resolutions

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Case</th>
<th>( \Delta x^+ )</th>
<th>( \Delta y_{\min}^+ )</th>
<th>( \Delta y_{\max}^+ )</th>
<th>( \Delta z^+ )</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Kim et al. [23]</td>
<td>12.0</td>
<td>0.05 ~ 4.4</td>
<td>7.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lee et al. [24]</td>
<td>10.0</td>
<td>0.3 ~ 31.0</td>
<td>15.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Na and Moin [15]</td>
<td>18.3</td>
<td>0.11 ~ 22.7</td>
<td>10.5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Present</td>
<td>12.5</td>
<td>0.16 ~ 24.1</td>
<td>5.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

A second-order central difference scheme is used for the spatial derivatives and a Crank–Nicolson method is employed in time advancement. Substitution of Eqs. (6) and (8) into Eq. (5) indicates that the present scheme is second-order accurate in time. The discretized nonlinear momentum equations are solved by using a Newton iterative method. Solving the Poisson equation for \( \rho \) satisfies the continuity equation. In this computation, Eqs. (5~8) are also transformed from Cartesian coordinates to the generalized coordinate.

Time-dependent turbulent inflow data are provided at the inlet based on the method by Lund et al. [25]. This approach is to extract instantaneous planes of velocity data from an auxiliary simulation of spatially developing turbulent boundary layer over a flat plate. A plane velocity field near the domain exit is modified by the re scaling procedure and reintroduced to the inlet of the computational domain in the inflow-generation simulation. The main simulation of a turbulent boundary layer over a bump is then carried out. A convective boundary condition at the exit has the form \( \left( \partial u_i / \partial t \right) + c \left( \partial u_i / \partial x \right) = 0 \), where \( c \) is the local bulk velocity. The no-slip boundary condition is imposed at the solid wall, and the boundary conditions on the top surface of the computational domain are \( \partial u_i / \partial y = 0 \), \( u_2 = 0 \), and \( u_3 = 0 \). A periodic boundary condition is applied in the spanwise direction.

Figure 2 shows a schematic diagram of the computational domain and grid system. The computational domain size in each direction is \( L_x = 240 \), \( L_y = 45 \), and \( L_z = 40 \), respectively. The inlet Reynolds number based on the inlet momentum thickness (\( \theta_\theta \)) and freestream velocity (\( U_{\infty} \)) was \( Re = 300 \). Nonuniform grid distributions are used in both the streamwise and wall-normal directions, and uniform grid distribution in the spanwise direction. The computational grid is generated using the direct distribution control technique by Thomas and Middlecoff [26]. Comparison of the computational grid resolution with the previous DNS is presented in Table 2. For all directions, the present resolution is everywhere comparable to or better than that of the previous DNS. The computational time step used is \( \Delta t = 0.3 \theta_\theta / U_{\infty} \) and the total averaging time to obtain the statistics is \( T_{\text{avg}} = 5000 \) \( v / u_2 \), where \( v \) and \( u_2 \) are the kinematic viscosity and the friction velocity, respectively. A streamline-normal coordinate system \((s, n, z)\) was used for postprocessing, where the \( n \) axis is perpendicular to the lower surface in Fig. 1b. The corresponding velocity components in \((s, n, z)\) are denoted \((u_s, u_n, u_z)\). A normalized streamwise coordinate \( x' = (x - x_0) / L_c \) was also used, where \( x_0 \) corresponds to the leading edge of the bump and \( L_c \) is the bump length. In the \( x' \)-coordinate system, \( x' = 0 \) coincides with the leading edge, \( x' = 0.5 \) with the bump apex and \( x' = 1.0 \) with the trailing edge.

### III. Results and Discussion

#### A. Mean Wall Pressure and Flow Field

Figure 3a shows the wall pressure coefficients \((C_p^+)\) calculated in the present work along with the experimental data of Webster et al. [18]. The form of \( C_p^+ = (C_p - C_{p, \text{ref}}) / (C_p, \text{ref} - C_{p, \text{min}}) \) was utilized by Hudy et al. [10], who made a comparison of the mean pressure data in a separated and reattached flow. The present results are in excellent agreement with the experimental data. The behavior of the wall pressure coefficient \( C_p^+ \) indicates that the boundary layer develops under a zero pressure gradient at the inlet and that the streamwise pressure gradient then becomes mildly adverse over the upstream flat plate. On moving downstream to the leading edge of the bump, the boundary layer experiences a short region of concave curvature followed by a region of convex curvature, with the corresponding pressure gradient changing from adverse to favorable. Downstream of the bump apex, the streamwise pressure gradient is initially strongly adverse and then changes to mildly favorable over the exit flat plate.

Figure 3b shows the distribution of the nondimensional pressure gradient parameter \( P^+ \), which is defined as

\[ P^+ = \frac{\nu}{\rho u_2^2} \frac{d(p_w)}{dx} \]  

(9)

Here, the brackets indicate an average over the spanwise direction and time. \( P^+ \) changes sign at three streamwise locations, \( x' = 0.038 \), 0.52, and 1.04. Thus, according to the sign of the streamwise pressure gradient, the computational domain can be divided into four streamwise regions, specifically \(-0.33 < x' < 0.038 \) (region I), \(0.038 < x' < 0.52 \) (region II), \(0.52 < x' < 1.04 \) (region III), and \(1.04 < x' < 1.67 \) (region IV). Notably, in the range of \( 0.78 < x' < 1.02 \) in region III, \( P^+ \) exceeds the value of 0.09 suggested by Patel as the threshold value above which separation processes occur [27]. Through the region \( 0.78 < x' < 1.02 \), the boundary layer experiences intermittent reversal in the vicinity of the wall but remains attached on average.
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Fig. 3 a) Comparison of mean wall pressure with the experimental data; b) Streamwise distribution of pressure gradient parameter.
Figure 4 shows the streamwise distribution of the skin friction coefficient \( C_f = \tau / (rU_\infty^2 / 2) \). Compared with the value at the inlet, \( C_f \) is lower when the boundary layer is subjected to an adverse pressure gradient (regions I and III), but higher when the boundary layer is subjected to a favorable pressure gradient (regions II and IV). On moving through the flat-to-concave transition in the surface, \( C_f \) increases rapidly at the region where the pressure gradient decreases. A similar rapid increase of \( C_f \) is observed near the trailing edge of the bump. As pointed out by Wu and Squires [19], this change in \( C_f \) can be regarded as evidence of internal layer generation at a curvature discontinuity. The overall distribution shown in Fig. 4 is similar to that measured experimentally by Baskaran et al. [17]. The local minimum of \( C_f \) at \( x' = 0.94 \) is due to the intermittent reverse flows near the trailing edge of the bump. The degree of intermittent reversal can be quantified by the intermittent factor \( \gamma^+ = \Sigma (u_{i,w} > 0) / \Sigma t \). Figure 4 presents the computed streamwise distribution of \( \gamma^+ \). Based on the previous result that intermittent detachment occurs when \( \gamma^+ < 0.8 \) (Wu and Squires [19]), we see that intermittent detachment occurs at \( x' = 0.92 \), the streamwise location of the convex-to-concave surface discontinuity, and that intermittent reattachment occurs at \( x' = 1.0 \), the streamwise location at which \( (p_w)_{\text{ms}} \) has a maximum value (shown in the next section).

Figure 5a depicts the instantaneous streamwise velocity distribution at the domain center, while Fig. 5b presents the mean streamwise velocity distribution obtained by averaging over the spanwise direction and time. In these contour plots, the contour levels range from 0.1 to 0.9 in increments of 0.1, with an additional contour level of 0.99, indicating the boundary layer thickness. The contours of streamwise turbulence intensity are illustrated in Fig. 5c, where the contour levels range from 0.01 to 0.17 in increments of 0.01. The instantaneous velocity field (Fig. 5a) clearly exhibits intermittent reverse flows near the bump trailing edge, but no separation zones are observed in the mean field (Fig. 5b). Note that the concave-to-convex curvature discontinuity triggers the formation of an internal layer, consistent with previous studies [17–19]. The streamwise turbulence intensity in the internal layer is significantly larger than that in the outer layer. On moving downstream to above the bump, the internal layer grows away from the wall (Fig. 5c). Thus, the present DNS results confirm the existence of the internal layer above the bump.

B. One-Point Statistics of Wall Pressure Fluctuations

The streamwise distribution of rms wall pressure fluctuations \( (p_w)_{\text{rms}} \), normalized by the reference dynamic pressure \( q_\infty = rU_\infty^2 / 2 \), is shown in Fig. 6. Two peaks are observed, at \( x' = 0.07 \) and \( x' = 1.0 \), which correspond to surface locations close to the concave-to-convex and concave-to-flat transitions on the surface, respectively. With moving downstream of the bump apex, \( (p_w)_{\text{rms}} \) begins to increase near \( x' = 0.78 \), the location at which the pressure gradient parameter (\( P^+ \)) exceeds 0.09. Since high-amplitude wall pressure fluctuations are closely linked with near-wall streamwise vortices and turbulent kinetic energy production [13], the activated streamwise vortices and turbulent fluctuations observed in region III may be the source of the observed increase of \( (p_w)_{\text{rms}} \) downstream of the apex.

To examine the spectral features of the wall pressure fluctuations, we calculated the frequency spectra of \( p_w \) using standard techniques for stochastic data. The wall pressure fluctuations \( p_w(x, z, t) \) are Fourier-transformed in the spanwise direction and time. Letting \( p_w(x, k_z, w) \) be the discrete Fourier transform of \( p_w(x, z, t) \), the power spectral density is computed by

\[
\Phi(k_z, \omega; x) = \langle \hat{p}_w(x, k_z, \omega) \hat{p}_w^*(x, k_z, \omega) \rangle
\]

where * denotes the complex conjugate and the brackets indicate an average over the spanwise direction and time. The dependence on the streamwise location \( x \) is considered from the flow inhomogeneity. The frequency spectra \( \phi(\omega; x) \) are obtained by integrating \( \Phi(k_z, \omega; x) \) over \( k_z \). All spectra presented here are normalized such that their integral is equal to the mean-square of the wall pressure fluctuations.
The spectra normalized by the outer variables are illustrated in Fig. 7. In all regions, the spectra collapse in the high frequency region. For \( \omega = U_\infty \), the spectra decrease with a slope of approximately \(-0.0005\). The computed pressure spectra have a negligible region with a slope, which arises from the contribution of motions in the logarithmic region. Closer inspection of Fig. 7 discloses that the power in the low-frequency region is the main determinant of the variation of \( p_w \) for regions I and III, the spectra in the low-frequency region increase with increasing \( \frac{x}{\delta} \) (Figs. 7a and 7c), indicating that the increase of large-scale power gives rise to the increase of \( (p_w)_{\text{rms}} \). For regions II and IV, the spectra in the low-frequency region decrease with increasing \( \frac{x}{\delta} \) (Figs. 7b and 7d), indicating that the decrease of large-scale power produces the decrease of \( (p_w)_{\text{rms}} \).

Figure 8 shows the time evolution of wall pressure fluctuations at several streamwise locations, showing a wide range of time scale. In the boundary layer subjected to a strong adverse pressure gradient (\( \frac{x}{\delta} = 12 \)), the fluctuating frequency decreases slightly and the corresponding amplitude increases. At this location, the signal is dominated by low-frequency motions, indicating that large-scale structures grow in region III. From the one-point statistics and time history results presented above, we conclude that the large-scale structure of the wall pressure fluctuations plays a key role in determining the distribution of \( (p_w)_{\text{rms}} \) in all four regions. In particular, the large-scale structure grows rapidly in region III, where the boundary layer is subjected to a strong adverse pressure gradient.

C. Spatial Features of the Wall Pressure Fluctuations

The spatial characteristics of the wall pressure fluctuations are obtained from the two-point correlations as a function of the streamwise spatial \( \Delta x \) and temporal \( \Delta t \) separations,

\[
R_{pp}(\Delta x, \Delta t; x) = \frac{(p_w(x, x, t)p_w(x + \Delta x, z, t + \Delta t))}{(p_w)_{\text{rms}}(x, z, t)(p_w)_{\text{rms}}(x + \Delta x, z, t + \Delta t)}
\]

where the brackets indicate an average over the spanwise direction and time. Again, the dependence on the streamwise location \( x \) is considered from the flow inhomogeneity. The contours of the two-point correlation are presented in Fig. 10 for six streamwise locations. In these plots, the contour levels range from 0.1 to 0.9 in increments of 0.1. For the purpose of comparison, the spatial separations are normalized by the inlet momentum thickness. The strong convective nature of the wall pressure fluctuations is evidenced by the concentration of the contours into a band. A slight increase in the slope of \( \Delta x/\Delta t \) indicates that the convection velocity of large eddies is higher than that of small eddies. From Fig. 10, it is clear that the wall pressure field loses coherence as con-
vection proceeds such that, when the flow has reached $x' = 11/12$ (Fig. 10d), the contour plot exhibits a curved shape, indicating that the wall pressure fluctuations do not proceed further downstream. Furthermore, the correlation decays rapidly at the trailing edge of the bump (Fig. 10e), indicating that the streamwise integral length scale of the wall pressure fluctuations decreases in region III, where the boundary layer is subjected to a strong adverse pressure gradient.

The convection velocities are calculated using the following definitions:

$$U_c(\Delta x) = \frac{\Delta x}{\Delta t_{\max}} \tag{12}$$

where $\Delta t_{\max}$ is the temporal separation for which $R_{pp}$ is maximum at a given $\Delta x$, and

$$U_c(\Delta t) = \frac{\Delta x_{\max}}{\Delta t} \tag{13}$$

where $\Delta x_{\max}$ is the streamwise separation for which $R_{pp}$ is maximum at a given $\Delta t$. The computed convection velocities normalized by the freestream velocity are presented in Fig. 11. At the leading edge of the bump, the convection velocity of large scales, corresponding to large separations in space or time, is approximately $0.8 U_\infty$ and that of small scales is approximately $0.6 U_\infty$. At the bump apex ($x' = 6/12$), the convection velocity has a maximum value of $0.8 U_\infty$ and the convection velocity of large scales is nearly the same as that of small scales. At the trailing edge of the bump $x' = 12/12$ the wall pressure fluctuations have the smaller convection velocity of $0.4 \sim 0.5 U_\infty$. It is seen in Fig. 11 that $U_c$ decreases when the boundary layer is subjected to an adverse pressure gradient, but increases under a favorable pressure gradient.

Figure 12 shows the two-point correlation of wall pressure fluctuations as a function of the spanwise spatial and temporal separations,

$$R_{pp}(\Delta z, \Delta t; x) = \frac{\langle p_w(x, z, t) p_w(x + \Delta z, t + \Delta t) \rangle - \langle p_w(x, z, t) \rangle \langle p_w(x + \Delta z, t + \Delta t) \rangle}{\langle p_w(x, z, t) \rangle \langle p_w(x + \Delta z, t + \Delta t) \rangle} \tag{14}$$

where the brackets indicate an average over the spanwise direction and time. The contour levels range from 0.1 to 0.9 in increments of 0.1. Near the trailing edge of the bump, the contours become elongated at larger separations in the spanwise direction (Figs. 12d and 12e). The spanwise extent of the widest contour (the contour level 0.1) increases up to $x' = 12/12$, where $(p_w)_{\max}$ takes on a maximum value. This indicates that the spanwise integral length scale of wall pressure fluctuations increases in region III. These
where the cross spectrum function $\Phi(\Delta x, \omega; x)$ is the Fourier transform of the space-time cross correlation function,

$$
\Phi(\Delta x, \omega; x) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} R_{pp}(\Delta x, \Delta t; x) e^{-j\omega(\Delta t)} d(\Delta t)
$$

Figure 13 shows contour plots of the spanwise coherence $\Gamma_{pp}(\Delta z, \omega; x)$ at six streamwise locations. The coherence decreases rapidly with spanwise separation, except in the low-frequency region. In particular, the coherence in the low-frequency region intensifies significantly near the trailing edge of the bump, where a strong adverse pressure gradient is present (Figs. 13d and 13e). The behavior of the spanwise coherence provides further evidence of the growth of large-scale motions corresponding to the low-frequency motions, and shows that, in region III, where the boundary layer is subjected to a strong adverse pressure gradient, these large-scale structures grow rapidly.

To further explore the observed rapid decrease in the coherence with spanwise separation, except in the low-frequency region, in Fig. 14 we depict $\Gamma_{pp}$ at selected low frequencies. For $\delta L_s/\delta U_\infty > 15.6$, the coherence exhibits sufficient decrease within the computational domain. Hence, the total noise spectrum for these frequencies is the summation of the contributions from independent source regions [28]. At low frequency ($\delta L_s/\delta U_\infty = 7.8$), the coherence length near the trailing edge of the bump is larger than the spanwise dimension of the computational domain and hence the total noise cannot be determined with certainty.

**D. Instantaneous Pressure Field**

To directly observe the growth of the large-scale structures near the trailing edge of the bump, we generated a time sequence of instantaneous flow fields. Figure 15 shows contour plots of the spanwise-averaged wall pressure fluctuations at times $tU_\infty/\theta_0 = 0, 3, 6, \text{ and } 9$. The difference in eddy length scales between upstream and downstream of the bump apex is evident in these plots. Downstream of the bump apex, the large-scale structures rapidly grow and the resulting quasi-two-dimensional structures convect downstream. The intermittent reverse flows near the trailing edge of the bump are attributed to the passage of these large-scale structures.

Figure 16 represents the variations of rms streamwise vorticity fluctuations $\omega$. These data show that the streamwise vorticity fluctuations are stronger downstream of the bump apex (Fig. 16b) than upstream (Fig. 16a). Furthermore, the location of the local maximum of $\omega$ moves away from the wall close to the trailing edge of the bump, indicating a slight increase in the distance between the streamwise vortices and the wall. Hence, the magnitude of $\omega$.

---

**Fig. 13** Contours of coherence function: a) $x' = -3/12$; b) $x' = 0/12$; c) $x' = 6/12$; d) $x' = 11/12$; e) $x' = 12/12$; f) $x' = 14/12$.

**Fig. 14** Coherence function in low frequencies: a) $x' = -3/12$; b) $x' = 0/12$; c) $x' = 6/12$; d) $x' = 11/12$; e) $x' = 12/12$; f) $x' = 14/12$.

---

---

**Fig. 15** Contours of spanwise-averaged pressure fluctuations in the $x-y$ plane: a) $tU_\infty/\theta_0 = 0$; b) $tU_\infty/\theta_0 = 3$; c) $tU_\infty/\theta_0 = 6$; d) $tU_\infty/\theta_0 = 9$. 

---

---
increases significantly due to the diminishing of the interaction with the wall. The maximum value of $\omega_0$ is observed at $x' = 12/12$, the streamwise location at which $(p_\infty)_{rms}$ takes on a maximum value. This suggests that the magnitude of $\omega_0$ increases near the trailing edge of the bump, thereby causing an increased level of wall pressure fluctuations [11,13].

Finally, we consider the time evolution of the wall pressure fluctuations in the $x-z$ plane. Figure 17 shows contour plots of the wall pressure fluctuations at the same times as in Fig. 15. In these plots, the wall pressure fluctuations are significantly enhanced near the trailing edge of the bump, where the boundary layer is subjected to a strong adverse pressure gradient. The large-scale structures in the wall pressure fluctuation distribution grow rapidly near the trailing edge of the bump and convect downstream. As these large-scale structures propagate downstream, they lose their coherence. Near the trailing-edge of the bump, the distance between the streamwise vortices and the wall increases slightly and thus the magnitude of the rms streamwise vorticity fluctuations increases significantly due to the diminishing of the interaction with the wall. The maximum value of streamwise vorticity is observed at $x' = 12/12$, the streamwise location at which $(p_\infty)_{rms}$ takes on a maximum value.

**IV. Conclusions**

A detailed numerical analysis has been performed to scrutinize the effects of longitudinal curvature of a wall on the wall pressure fluctuations. Statistical descriptions of wall pressure fluctuations were obtained by direct numerical simulation of the turbulent boundary layer over a bump defined by three tangential circular arcs. Upstream of the bump apex, the streamwise pressure gradient changed from adverse to favorable with moving downstream. Downstream of the bump apex, the boundary layer was first subjected to an adverse pressure gradient before returning to a favorable pressure gradient over the flat plate. It was found that large-scale wall pressure fluctuations play a key role in determining the distribution of the rms wall pressure fluctuations $(p_\infty)_{rms}$. The wall pressure fluctuations are significantly enhanced near the trailing edge of the bump, where the boundary layer is subjected to a strong adverse pressure gradient. In addition, large-scale structures in the wall pressure fluctuation distribution grow rapidly near the trailing edge of the bump and conversely downstream. The appearance of intermittent reverse flows near the trailing edge of the bump is attributed to the passage of these large-scale structures. As the large-scale structures propagate downstream, they lose their coherence. The maximum value of streamwise vorticity is observed at $x' = 12/12$, the streamwise location at which $(p_\infty)_{rms}$ takes on a maximum value.
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